

From: [Steckel, Andrew](#)
To: [Christenson, Kara](#); [Zimpfer, Amy](#); [LEVIN, NANCY](#)
Cc: [Spiegelman, Nina](#); [Lakin, Matt](#)
Subject: RE: APCD Board reconsidering Rule 1001
Date: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 1:34:37 PM

(b) (5), [REDACTED]

Attorney
Client [REDACTED]

From: Christenson, Kara
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 1:21 PM
To: Zimpfer, Amy; LEVIN, NANCY
Cc: Spiegelman, Nina; Steckel, Andrew; Lakin, Matt
Subject: RE: APCD Board reconsidering Rule 1001

Hi – Here are some general comments (b) (5), Attorney Client [REDACTED]

This email including attachments may contain information that is confidential and/or protected by the attorney/client or other privileges. If you are not an intended recipient please delete this email including attachments and notify me by email or at (415) 972-3881.

Kara Christenson
Senior Counsel
U.S. EPA Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street 16th Floor
San Francisco CA 94105
phone 415 972-3881 / fax 415 947-3570
christenson.kara@epa.gov

From: Zimpfer, Amy
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 12:56 PM
To: LEVIN, NANCY
Cc: Spiegelman, Nina; Christenson, Kara; Steckel, Andrew; Lakin, Matt
Subject: Re: APCD Board reconsidering Rule 1001

Hi folks,

(b) (5), Attorney Client [REDACTED]

Kara and Nina,

(b) (5), Attorney Client [REDACTED]

Thanks,
Amy

Amy Zimpfer, Associate Director, USEPA, Region 9
+1 415 947 4146
zimpfer.amy@epa.gov

On Jun 2, 2015, at 10:28 AM, LEVIN, NANCY <Levin.Nancy@epa.gov> wrote:

Amy, Kara, Nina – Attached is a draft response to the SLOAPCD Board regarding their request for EPA's position substituting and MOA in place of the current state Rule 1001 (b)

)

[REDACTED] (Thanks

Nancy Levin Air Rules Office
415.972.3848 levin.nancy@epa.gov
US EPA Region 9 75 Hawthorne St (AIR-4) San Francisco CA 94105

From: Zimpfer, Amy
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 12:05 PM
To: Lakin, Matt; LEVIN, NANCY
Cc: Spiegelman, Nina; Christenson, Kara; Steckel, Andrew
Subject: RE: APCD Board reconsidering Rule 1001

Thanks (b) (5), [REDACTED]

Amy Zimpfer, Associate Director
USEPA, Region 9, Air Division
75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105
zimpfer.amy@epa.gov + 1.415.947.4146

NOTICE: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or believe that you have received this communication in error, please do not print, copy, retransmit, disseminate, or otherwise use the information. Also, please indicate to the sender that you have received this communication in error, and delete the copy you received.

From: Lakin, Matt

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 10:54 AM
To: LEVIN, NANCY; Zimpfer, Amy
Subject: FW: APCD Board reconsidering Rule 1001

Matthew Lakin, Ph D
Manager, Air Planning Office
US EPA, Region 9 (AIR-2) | 75 Hawthorne St | San Francisco, CA 94105
P: 415 972 3851 | E: Lakin.Matthew@epa.gov

From: rachel toti [<mailto:ccca3858@gmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 9:31 AM
To: Lakin, Matt
Cc: Arlene Versaw
Subject: APCD Board reconsidering Rule 1001

Good Morning Matt,

You may want to watch the May 27th APCD Board meeting. The simple revision to remove the permit requirement turned into a 2 hour discussion culminating in a request for more options. Mr. Allen is supposed to contact the EPA before the June 17th APCD meeting to learn whether an MOU instead of a rule would be acceptable.

In 2010, about a year before the rule was adopted, the County, State Parks and the APCD entered into an "agreement" to explore solutions to the dust problem. This agreement created two committees, the technical advisory group and the management oversight group. Within a year, the let's work together approach collapsed, Larry can give you the inside scoop on why. Thereafter, the APCD started working on drafting a Rule.

Several of the Board members who are advocating an MOU, were not on the board at that time. Given this history, would the EPA agree to an MOU?

See below links

<http://www.sanluisobispo.com/2015/05/30/3657901/oceano-dunes-dust-still-reaches.html>

<draft on MOA question 6-2 docx>